PRESENTERS



Her Hon Judge Christina Inglis, Employment Court, Auckland

Judge Inglis holds an LLM(Hons); MA(Hons). Prior to appointment she was Crown Counsel at Crown Law. She is currently on the Advisory Board for the New Zealand Centre for Human Rights Law, Policy and Practice.



Liz Coats, Senior Associate, Bell Gully, Auckland

Liz advises on employment issues. She represents employers in the Authority, Employment Court and Court of Appeal, including personal grievances, breach of contract claims, and leading cases relating to minimum entitlements.

The statements and conclusions contained in this paper are those of the author(s) only and not those of the New Zealand Law Society. This booklet has been prepared for the purpose of a Continuing Legal Education course. It is not intended to be a comprehensive statement of the law or practice, and should not be relied upon as such. If advice on the law is required, it should be sought on a formal, professional basis.

CONTENTS

C	OMPENSATION FOR NON-MONETARY LOSS – FICKLE OR FLEXIBLE?	1
	PART I: THE FRAMING AND THE PRINCIPLES	1
	INTRODUCTION	
	WHY BOTHER WITH A FRAMEWORK?	
	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF THE AWARD?	
	IDENTIFYING THE BASIS FOR THE RELIEF CLAIMED.	
	Conjunctive or disjunctive harm?	
	How is Compensation to be Quantified?	
	Objective approach	
	Burden	
	Evidence of loss	
	Trifling losses not sufficient	
	Sufficient causal connection	
	Not to punish	
	No collateral purpose	
	No double recovery	
	Not to compensate for the loss suffered by others	8
	Relevance of impact on employer?	
	A special approach for certain categories of claim and claimant?	
	Mitigation – "duty" to mitigate? Application to claims of non-pecuniary loss?	
	Contribution	
	Relevance of post-termination conduct by employee	
	Relevance of post-termination conduct by employer?	
	Modesty?	
	Keeping up with the times	
	Globalisation	
	Overall assessment of relief?	15
	Stuck with the pleadings as to quantum?	16
	Interest?	16
	Harmonisation	
	Predictability	
	A BANDING APPROACH?	
	AN ALTERNATIVE OPTION?	
	PART II: PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS	
	THE SECOND LIMB	
	THE POSSIBILITIES – CLAIMS FOR NON-PECUNIARY LOSS UNDER S 123(1)(C)(II)	
	Eg: Loss of benefit of stable, permanent employment and anticipated future income	
	Eg: Loss of status/career	
	Eg: Damage to family relationships	
	Eg: Psychiatric/mental injury	
	Eg: Loss of opportunity eg for professional training, travel	
	Eg: Loss of reputation	
	A FEW COMMENTS ON CLAIMS FOR CERTAIN TYPES OF <i>PECUNIARY</i> LOSS UNDER S 123(1)(C)(II)	
	Costs incurred by an employee in engaging representation during an employment process (before	
	proceedings initiated)	
	Holiday pay eg for payments made after termination of employment	
	BETTER THE DEVIL YOU KNOW? WHERE TO GO IF YOU HAVE THE CHOICE	
	Jurisdiction	
	When can the Tribunal be accessed?	
	Similarities between the jurisdictions	
	Different opportunities in the Tribunal	
	Advantages of employment jurisdiction	
	"DO's" AND "DON'TS"	
	Acting for an employee	
	Acting for the employer	4U

Settlement	43
SUMMARY	44
APPENDIX 1: SECTIONS 123 AND 124	
APPENDIX 2: TOTAL AWARD TABLES	46
APPENDIX 3: RELEVANT GRAPHS	50
APPENDIX 4: PROOF MATRIX	